

## CRITERIA FOR CLINICAL INQUIRY EVALUATION

### I. **PROBLEM ANALYSIS (75%)**

#### A. ***Appropriate Literature Sources***

1. References are trivial, i.e., class notes.
2. Only one literature source is cited, too few to draw conclusions, or citations are inappropriate for type of question involved.
3. Adequate literature sources are cited, however, some are inappropriate for type of question involved.
4. Adequate literature sources are cited and are seldom inappropriate for type of question involved.
5. Adequate literature sources are cited and all are appropriate for type of question. Primary and secondary literature is referenced, original articles are cited, not entirely review articles.

#### B. ***Evidence-Based Answer***

0. No Evidence-Based Answer.
1. Evidence-Based Answer not supported by Evidence Summary.
2. Incomplete Evidence-Based Answer.
3. Limited Evidence-Based Answer; incorrect conclusions reached for the question based upon Evidence Summary.
4. Limited Evidence-Based Answer; most conclusions reached for the question are correct based upon Evidence Summary.
5. Complete Evidence-Based Answer; all conclusions reached for the question are correct based upon Evidence Summary.

#### C. ***Depth and insight of supporting information (Evidence Summary)***

0. Supporting information is incorrect.
1. Supporting information is partially correct. Wanders and presents unnecessary and irrelevant material. Never actually addresses the question involved. Omission of much key information.
2. Supporting information only addresses some issues of the particular question. Much extraneous and irrelevant material is included in answer. May omit some key information. Reflects poor understanding.
3. Supporting information correctly, but only superficially, addresses issues of the particular question. May wander or include some extraneous or irrelevant material. Insufficient details provided. Most, but not all, issues of the question addressed.
4. Supporting information correctly discusses all issues concerning the question. May wander or include some extraneous or irrelevant material. Insufficient details provided.
5. Supporting information correctly discusses and expands upon all issues concerning the question involved. Does not wander. All material presented is necessary and relevant and reflects a comprehensive understanding.

### II. **STYLE OF PRESENTED MATERIAL (25%)**

#### A. ***Answer organized logically, expressed in a clear, concise manner***

1. Answer unorganized, skips around. Wordy discussion.
2. Answer unorganized at times, skips around. Wordy discussion.
3. Answer consistently organized, logically flows from statement to statement. Frequently wordy discussion.
4. Answer organized, logically flows from statement to statement. Occasionally wordy discussion.
5. Answer organized, logically flows from statement to statement. Concise discussion.

**B. *Appropriate medical terminology used***

1. Inappropriate medical terminology and/or abbreviations used, changes meaning of answer. (> 5 instances)
2. Inappropriate medical terminology and/or abbreviations used, at times may even change the impact of the answer. (3-5 instances)
3. Some inappropriate medical terminology and/or abbreviations used. (2 instances)
4. Little inappropriate medical terminology and/or abbreviations used. (1 instance)
5. Fully appropriate medical terminology and abbreviations used.

**C. *Grammar, spelling and punctuation correct (including required document formatting)***

1. > 5 types\* of grammar, spelling and punctuation errors.
2. 3-5 types\* of grammar, spelling and punctuation errors.
3. Two types\* of grammar, spelling and punctuation errors.
4. One type\* of grammar, spelling and punctuation errors.
5. No grammar, spelling or punctuation errors are present.

**D. *Citation or Logical Support of all Statements***

0. Statements plagiarized and/or no statements supported (referenced) by citation of literature.
1. Statements not logically supported by material presented.
2. Most statements are not supported (referenced) by citation of literature, or lack logical support based upon material presented. (> 5 statements)
3. Many statements are supported (referenced) either by citation of literature, or logical support based upon material presented. (2-4 exceptions)
4. Most statements are supported (referenced) either by citations of literature, or logical conclusions from material presented. (1 exception)
5. All statements are supported (referenced) either by citation of literature, or logical conclusions from material presented.

**E. *Literature citation format***

1. > 7 types\* of citation format errors exist.
2. 5 to 7 types\* of citation format errors exist
3. 3 to 4 types\* of citation format errors exist
4. 1-2 types\* of citation format errors exist
5. No citation format errors.

**F. *Question derived from PICO format***

0. Question does not follow PICO format.
  1. Minimal attempt to follow PICO format.
  2. Some attempt to follow PICO format.
  3. Question attempts to follow PICO format but is not correctly stated.
  4. Question mostly attempts to follow correct PICO format.
  5. Question completely follows correct PICO format.
- N/A Question cannot be stated in PICO format because it is not a clinical question.

**G. *Abstracts provided***

0. No abstracts provided.
3. Some of the available abstracts provided.
5. All of the available abstracts provided.