

2027 Annual Meeting Programming Scoring Rubric

Category (5 points each)	Submission Questions	Criteria	Scale 1-5 Likert
Presenter Qualifications 5 points	Provide a brief bio of each presenter, including relevant expertise or experience with this topic.	Presenter bio(s) demonstrate relevant expertise or experience with the topic	<p>Strongly Disagree: Missing, unclear, or does not meet the criterion</p> <p>Disagree: Substantial concerns or important information is missing</p> <p>Neutral: Meets the criterion but lacks detail, clarity, or strength</p> <p>Agree: Clearly and thoroughly meets the criterion</p> <p>Strongly Agree: Exceeds the criterion and demonstrates particular strength</p>
Purpose 10 points	Explain the problem or central question this presentation is addressing and why it is important	The problem or central question addressed in this presentation clearly aligns with a need in the academy OR the AACP Strategic Plan.	<p>Strongly Disagree: Missing, unclear, or does not meet the criterion</p> <p>Disagree: Substantial concerns or important information is missing</p> <p>Neutral: Meets the criterion but lacks detail, clarity, or strength</p> <p>Agree: Clearly and thoroughly meets the criterion</p> <p>Strongly Agree: Exceeds the criterion and demonstrates particular strength</p>
Process 5 points	Describe how the topic of the presentation was developed. What prior work (e.g. literature, presentations, or posters) informed your approach? Describe the development process.	The development process is described with enough detail, including how the proposal builds upon prior work.	<p>Strongly Disagree: Missing, unclear, or does not meet the criterion</p> <p>Disagree: Substantial concerns or important information is missing</p>

			<p>Neutral: Meets the criterion but lacks detail, clarity, or strength</p> <p>Agree: Clearly and thoroughly meets the criterion</p> <p>Strongly Agree: Exceeds the criterion and demonstrates particular strength</p>
<p>Learning Objectives</p> <p>5 points</p>	<p>List 2 or 3 SMART Learning Objectives for the session</p>	<p>The objectives appropriately reflect the presentation's purpose.</p>	<p>Strongly Disagree: Missing, unclear, or does not meet the criterion</p> <p>Disagree: Substantial concerns or important information is missing</p> <p>Neutral: Meets the criterion but lacks detail, clarity, or strength</p> <p>Agree: Clearly and thoroughly meets the criterion</p> <p>Strongly Agree: Exceeds the criterion and demonstrates particular strength</p>
<p>Audience Takeaway</p> <p>10 points</p>	<p>Explain what you want the audience to take away from this presentation.</p>	<p>Audience takeaways are clearly aligned with the program's purpose.</p>	<p>Strongly Disagree: Missing, unclear, or does not meet the criterion</p> <p>Disagree: Substantial concerns or important information is missing</p> <p>Neutral: Meets the criterion but lacks detail, clarity, or strength</p> <p>Agree: Clearly and thoroughly meets the criterion</p> <p>Strongly Agree: Exceeds the criterion and demonstrates particular strength</p>
<p>Generalizability</p> <p>10 points</p>	<p>Explain how this presentation will benefit other programs, pharmacy education, or the profession.</p>	<p>The topic is generalizable to other pharmacy programs, pharmacy education, or the profession</p>	<p>Strongly Disagree: Missing, unclear, or does not meet the criterion</p> <p>Disagree: Substantial concerns or important information is missing</p>

			<p>Neutral: Meets the criterion but lacks detail, clarity, or strength</p> <p>Agree: Clearly and thoroughly meets the criterion</p> <p>Strongly Agree: Exceeds the criterion and demonstrates particular strength</p>
<p>Structure & Time</p> <p>5 points</p>	<p>Explain the structure of the presentation and provide a rough time breakdown.</p>	<p>Presentation structure and time breakdown are appropriately paced (for the session-type).</p>	<p>Strongly Disagree: Missing, unclear, or does not meet the criterion</p> <p>Disagree: Substantial concerns or important information is missing</p> <p>Neutral: Meets the criterion but lacks detail, clarity, or strength</p> <p>Agree: Clearly and thoroughly meets the criterion</p> <p>Strongly Agree: Exceeds the criterion and demonstrates particular strength</p>
<p>Presenter Contribution</p> <p>5 points</p>	<p>Describe the role and contribution of each presenter.</p>	<p>Contributions of each presenter are appropriate for the type of presentation.</p>	<p>Strongly Disagree: Missing, unclear, or does not meet the criterion</p> <p>Disagree: Substantial concerns or important information is missing</p> <p>Neutral: Meets the criterion but lacks detail, clarity, or strength</p> <p>Agree: Clearly and thoroughly meets the criterion</p> <p>Strongly Agree: Exceeds the criterion and demonstrates particular strength</p>
<p>Audience Engagement Plan (not needed for 30-minute sessions)</p>	<p>Describe how the audience will be engaged during the presentation.</p>	<p>The audience engagement plan is appropriate for the session type/length</p>	<p>Strongly Disagree: Missing, unclear, or does not meet the criterion</p> <p>Disagree: Substantial concerns or important information is missing</p>

5 points			<p>Neutral: Meets the criterion but lacks detail, clarity, or strength</p> <p>Agree: Clearly and thoroughly meets the criterion</p> <p>Strongly Agree: Exceeds the criterion and demonstrates particular strength</p>
Overall Clarity	----	Each element in the proposal is clearly written with sufficient detail	<p>Strongly Disagree: Missing, unclear, or does not meet the criterion</p> <p>Disagree: Substantial concerns or important information is missing</p> <p>Neutral: Meets the criterion but lacks detail, clarity, or strength</p> <p>Agree: Clearly and thoroughly meets the criterion</p> <p>Strongly Agree: Exceeds the criterion and demonstrates particular strength</p>
5 points			

Total Score (60-minute presentation, maximum: 65 points)

Total Score (30- minute presentation, maximum: 60 points)