Evaluation of Student Accuracy and Changes in Confidence from a Simulated Drug Utilization Review Process



Cortney M. Mospan, PharmD, BCACP, BCGP¹; Katelyn M. Alexander, PharmD², Chris Gillette, PhD¹

¹Wingate University School of Pharmacy; ²East Tennessee State University



INTRODUCTION

- Pharmacists should assess potential drug allergies, drug-drug interactions, and drug-disease interactions during a drug utilization review (DUR).¹
- Previous literature has evaluated pharmacists' confidence in performing medication reviews and their findings²⁻⁵; however, there are limited descriptions of how to successfully teach these skills to student pharmacists.^{6,7}
- Saverno et al. found student pharmacists were able to identify only ~61-65% of clinically significant drug-drug interactions.⁸
- Pharmacists have identified the following barriers in identifying and effectively addressing drug-drug interactions: lack of self-confidence, poor motivation, workload and pharmacy staffing.^{2,3}
- An investigational report published by the *Chicago Tribune* found that 52% of the time medications with potentially severe drug interactions were dispensed without any consultation of the patient regarding the risks. Following this report, the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and U.S. Senator Dick Durbin (D-IL) have called for better detection of drug interactions. 10

OBJECTIVES

- 1. Assess the impact of a pharmacy skills lab on third-year student pharmacists' confidence in completion of a drug utilization review (DUR) during the dispensing process
- 2. Assess student accuracy in identifying the therapeutic issue in each case.

METHODS

- A pharmacy skills-based lab simulated the DUR process in a community pharmacy setting for third-year pharmacy students.
- A 30-minute interactive lecture was provided to describe a systematic process for conducting a DUR prior to the exercise.
- Students had 90 minutes to complete 12 simulated DUR cases with 60 minutes for a faculty debrief over the therapeutic issues in each case and potential resolutions.
- A pre-survey and post- survey were developed via Qualtrics to assess changes in student confidence resulting from the lab activity. Case answers were collected electronically via Qualtrics.
- This study was approved by the ETSU IRB.
- Responses were anonymous, matched via self-generated code.
- Identification of therapeutic issues and decision to dispense are summarized using descriptive statistics and Wilcoxon signed rank tests were used to analyze matched pre- and post- data. Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to analyze implications of duration of pharmacy experience on initial confidence.

RESULTS

- •The survey response rate was 96% (n=75) from a class of 78 third-year student pharmacists at East Tennessee State University.
- The majority of students had paid pharmacy experience in one or more positions as a pharmacy intern (51%), pharmacy technician (34%), and pharmacy clerk (13%).
 60% of students had independent community pharmacy experience, 68% had chain community pharmacy experience, and 57% had hospital pharmacy experience.

Confidence Statement	Pre- Survey	Post- Survey	p-value	Impact of previous pharmacy
				experience
				p-value
I can accurately identify and resolve therapeutic issues	41%	58%	0.0014	0.5404
when completing a drug utilization review on my own				
I feel confident performing a drug utilization review as	45%	58%	0.0140	0.2845
part of the dispensing process				
I feel adequately trained to perform a drug utilization	40%	50%	0.0326	0.1527
review as part of the dispensing process within a				
community pharmacy setting				
I have a systematic process for approaching the drug	31%	59%	< 0.0001	0.1294
utilization review process before dispensing a				
medication				
I feel confident in my ability to evaluate the clinical	47%	56%	0.0333	0.0944
significance of drug interactions				
I feel confident in my ability to address drug	48%	63%	0.0087	0.1797
interactions that I identify during the drug utilization				
review process				

Case	Case Summary	Identified	Intended
No.		Therapeutic	to
		Issue	Dispense
1	Hydrochlorothiazide in Gout	46%	51%
2	Cipro for Dental Prophylaxis	26%	54%
3	CYP2D6 Interaction with Prolonged Use (2 years; metoprolol &	89%	50%
	paroxetine)		
4	Birth Control and Anticonvulsant (Qsymia & Oral Contraceptive)	86%	83%
5	CYP3A4 Interaction with Boxed Warning (simvastatin &	92%	37%
	verapamil)		
6	NSAID and ACE-I Interaction (prolonged daily NSAID)	58%	58%
7	NSAID and SSRI (prolonged daily NSAID)	83%	80%
8	Avelox for UTI	58%	15%
9	Acute CYP3A4 Interaction (clarithromycin & simvastatin)	87%	29%
10	AChEI inhibitor & Antimuscarinic (donepezil & oxybutynin)	34%	57%
11	Serotonin Syndrome Risk (tramadol, venlafaxine, buspirone)	82%	57%
12	Masking of hypoglycemia (beta-blocker and sulfonylurea)	55%	60%

- 64% of student pharmacists strongly agreed-agreed the simulated dispensing environment was an effective way to improve their confidence in performing a DUR.
- 70% of student pharmacists strongly agreed-agreed that hands—on activities and simulated experiences will better prepare them for pharmacy practice than didactic lectures alone.

CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS

- A simulated DUR exercise increased student pharmacist confidence in their ability to identify and address therapeutic issues.
- Previously published data has shown this activity to be well-perceived by students, albeit with poor overall accuracy at identifying clinical issues during a DUR (55% accuracy).¹¹
- There was a wide variation in ability of student pharmacists to identify therapeutic issues. Despite increased confidence, this shows there is still opportunity for skill refinement.
- Recent investigational reports have shown a similar failure to identify and address clinical issues in community pharmacy practice in one geographical region.⁹
- While incorporation of this simulated exercise increased students' confidence in completing a foundational skill within community pharmacy practice, this skill must be revisited in additional didactic or experiential learning settings to ensure competence upon graduation and entering practice.

LIMITATIONS

- For this study, many limitations should be considered:
- 1. The survey tool utilized is not a validated survey.
- 2. The cases utilized in the simulation were developed from faculty members' experience and practice expertise, thus they may not represent the most common or most series drug interactions encountered in community pharmacy.
- 3. The population studied is not robust, representing only one pharmacy graduating class from one institution.

REFERENCES

- 1. Siracuse M. Applications in Managed and Specialty Environments. In: Desselle SP, Zgarrick DP, Alston GL, editors.
- Pharmacy Management: Essentials for All Setting, 3rd ed. New York, NY: Mc-Graw Hill Education; 2012.

 Niquille A, Lattmann, Bugnon O. Medication reviews led by community pharmacists in Switzerland: a qualitative
- survey to evaluate barriers and facilitators. *Pharm Pract (Granada)*. 2010;8(1):35-42.

 3. Malone DC, Abarca J, Skrepnek GH, et al. Pharmacist workload and pharmacy characteristics associated with the
- dispensing of potentially clinically important drug-drug interactions. *Med Care*. 2007;45:456-462.

 4. Krska J, Avery AJ. Evaluation of medication reviews by community pharmacists: a quantitative analysis of
- documented issues and recommendations. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2007;65(3):386-396.
- 5. Tucker R. The medicines use review in patients with chronic skin diseases: are pharmacists doing them and how confident are they? *Int J Pharm Pract*. 2013;21(3):202-204.
- 6. Trujillo J. A drug interactions elective course. *Am J Pharm Educ.* 2009;73(4): Article 72.
 7. Castillo S, Begley K, Hoie E, Elsasser G, Augustine S. "Brown Bag" simulations to teach drug utilization review. *Am J*
- Pharm Educ. 2014;78(2): Article 40.8. Saverno KR, Malone DC, Kurowsky J. Pharmacy students' ability to identify potential drug-drug interactions. Am J
- Pharm Educ. 2009;73(2): Article 27.
 Board E. Dispensing danger: How pharmacies fail to warn patients of toxic drug interactions. *Chicago Tribune*.
- http://www.chicagotribune.com/news/opinion/editorials/ct-prescription-drug-interactions-pharmacies-edit-20161216-story.html. Accessed May 9, 2018.
- 10. Drug Topics Staff. Senator, NABP call for better detection of drug interaction after Chicago investigation. http://www.drugtopics.com/latest/senator-nabp-call-better-detection-drug-interaction-after-chicago-investigation-accessed May 9, 2018.
- 11. Mospan CM, Alexander KM. Teaching drug utilization review skills via a simulated clinical decision making exercise. *Curr Pharm Teach Learn.* 2017;9(2):171-174.
- 12. Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education. *Accreditation Standards and Key Elements for the Professional Program in Pharmacy Leading to the Doctor of Pharmacy Degree.* Available at: https://www.acpe-accredit.org/pdf/Standards2016FINAL.pdf Accessed May 9, 2018.